Case 1:07-cv-09136-AKH Document	1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 1 of 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	DOCKETNO	
GREG KOSAREK AND MARY KOSAREK	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT	
- against -	DI AINTERES DEMAND A TRIAL DV	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.	
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant $Paintiff(s)$ as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual $Paintiff(s)$, which are listed below. These are marked with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant $Paintiff(s)$, and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.		
Plaintiffs, GREG KOSAREK AND MARY & GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	KOSAREK, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY aplaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:	
I. PAR	RTIES	

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

citizen (✓ Plaintiff, GREG York residing at 80 I	,			Plaintiff"), is an	n individual and a
CITIZCII	JI INCW	Tork residing at 60 h	v. Hemy St., Dio	Okiyii, INI I	1222-,		
			(C	(R)			
	_			/			
	2.	Alternatively, \square	is	the		of Decedent	
		, and brings this	claim in his (her)	capacity as	of the Est	tate of	•

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-09136-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing Plaintiff:	•	ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 222-, and has the following relationship to the Injured	
Traintiii.	GREG KOSAREK, and bring	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff st this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the	
		and (his wife), Plaintiff GREG KOSAREK. Other:	
4. Police Depar	In the period from 9/14/2001 to 10/1/2 rtment (NYPD) as a Police officer at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York	
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations	
====== ✓ The Worl	Id Trade Center Site	□ The Barge	
Location(s) ((i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;	
Approximate	about _9/14/2001_ until _10/1/2002_; ely _15_ hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
Approximate	ely <u>50</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
☐ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the	
	about until,	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total	
Approximate	ely hours per day; for ely days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
	h Kills Landfill	From on or about until;	
	about;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;	
Approximate	ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☑ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determi <u>n</u> ation	CORP
\square is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-09136-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; speciation Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers I; Contested, by al jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of lial	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above	name	d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-09136-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u> Date of onset:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
			-
V	Respiratory Injury: Sinus and/or Nasal	✓	Fear of Cancer
	<u>Problems</u>		Date of onset: <u>12/18/2006</u>
	Date of onset: <u>12/18/2006</u>		Date physician first connected this injury
	Date physician first connected this injury to		to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		date
	Digestive Injury: <u>N/A.</u>	V	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an orbay	stine list	of injuries that may be alleged
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	stive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
Grour dama	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ====	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ☑	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama, ===== ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓ ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \checkmark

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Greg Kosarek and Mary Kosarek

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	GREG KOSAREK (AND WIFE, MARY KOSAREK),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated, Attorney(s) for
	E TAKE NOTICE:
<u>t</u>	ICE OF ENTRY hat the within is a (certified) true copy of an luly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
t v j v o	to that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the udges of the within named Court, at 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP